

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,317	04/08/2004	Gregory W. Hoverson	4695-00097	6034
26753	7590 02/06/2006	EXAMINER		
ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP 100 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE, SUITE 1100 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			CHIESA, RICHARD L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1724	

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	y
_	٦ .

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/824,317	HOVERSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard L. Chiesa	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	- action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration					
5) Claim(s) 21-25 is/are allowed.	The first of the f					
5) ☐ Claim(s) 21-25 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,10-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-9.17 is/are rejected to						
7) Claim(s) 3-9 17, is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s)3-9 17, is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2004</u> is/are: a)[by the Evaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	priority under 25 H.C.C. \$ 440(a)	(4) == (5)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>June 29, 2004</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/824,317 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings filed on April 8, 2004 are accepted by the Examiner.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: (A) The serial number --10/820,541-- should apparently be inserted immediately after "Application No." on the fourth line of paragraph [0010] on page 3. (B) The phrase --now U.S. Patent No. 6,994,076,--should apparently be inserted immediately before "which head" on the fifth line of paragraph [0010] on page 3. (C) The phrase --, now abandoned-- should apparently be inserted between "August 5, 2003" and the period on the ninth line of paragraph [0013] on page 4. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,317 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Objections

3. Claim 34 is objected to because the word "second" on the second line of claim 34 should apparently be changed to --said--. Correction and/or clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102/103

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 USC 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless--

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 USC 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 USC 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicants are advised of the obligation under

Application/Control Number: 10/824,317

Art Unit: 1724

37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not

Page 4

commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 USC 103(c) and potential 35 USC 102(f) or (g) prior art

under 35 USC 103(a).

7. Claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 14, 15, 26-28, 31, and 32 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as

anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent

No. 3,985,524 to Masuda. Masuda (note Figures 1-6) discloses an electrostatic collection

apparatus and process with first and second corona discharge zones 8, 14 spaced along a

flow path (note col. 5, lines 26-51) as claimed (35 USC 102b). It would appear that

Masuda may not explicitly mention that the collector apparatus is space-efficient or that

the collection process increases residence time. However, Masuda does state that the

collector is compact and economical (note col. 1, lines 4-8, and 52-57). Furthermore,

Masuda's channel-shaped elements 7 of the electrodes 3 arranged transverse to the gas

flow (note Abstract, and col. 4, lines 41-66) produces a tortuous gas flow path resulting in

stabilization and longer residence time in the collector. Consequently, it is inherent or at

least would have been readily obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art (35 USC

103a) that Masuda's electrostatic collector apparatus and process is space-efficient and

increases residence time.

8. Claims 12, 13, 19, 20, 29, 30, 36, and 37 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Masuda in view of U.S. Patent No. 2,114,682 to Gumaer. Masuda, as

described above in paragraph 7, discloses an electrostatic collector apparatus and process

Application/Control Number: 10/824,317

Art Unit: 1724

substantially as claimed. Apparently, Masuda does not explicitly state that the various

Page 5

gas flow and corona disharge paths are concentric. In any case, Gumaer teaches the well-

known use of concentrically arranged initial gas and corona discharge paths (note Figure

10) in an electrostatic collection device and method for the purpose of ensuring easy

installation and operation (note first page, left column, lines 1-54). Therefore, it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ concentric initial gas flow

and corona discharge paths in the Masuda electrostatic collector apparatus and process in

order to facilitate installation and operation as taught by Gumaer.

9. Claims 16 and 33 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Masuda in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,287,368 to Ilmasti. Masuda, as described above in

paragraph 7, discloses an electrostatic collector apparatus and process substantially as

claimed with the apparent exception of a serpentine gas flow path. Ilmasti (note Figure

6) teaches the well-known use of a serpentine gas flow path 30 in an electrostatic

collection device and method for the purpose of improving particle settling (note col. 2,

lines 57-61) and for this same reason it would have been obvious to employ such an

expedient in the Masuda electrostatic collection apparatus and process.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 21-25 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,317 Page 6

Art Unit: 1724

11. Claims 3-9, 17, 18, 34, and 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP section 707.07(a).

12. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicants' reply must either comply with all requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure. These references have been cited as art of interest to show other

electrostatic collection systems.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1154.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane S. Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Facsimile correspondence must be transmitted through (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/824,317

Art Unit: 1724

Richard L. Chiesa January 31, 2006

Richard L. Chiesa

Page 7

RICHARD L. CHIESA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1724

Jan. 31, 2006